



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,030	10/26/2001	Hong-Goo Kang	2000-0588	5014	
7590 10/31/2005			EXAMINER		
Samuel H. Dworetsky			RIVERO, MINERVA		
AT&T Corp.			L DELDEE	DADED MUADED	
P.O. Box 4110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Middletown, NJ 07748-4110			2655		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/002,030	KANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Minerva Rivero	2655		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minerva Rivero	2655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			
• •		•	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the following time periods:</u> 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal i	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 	s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			,
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	/
13. Other:		W. A. Y OUNG PRIMARY EXAMIN	JER)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1 and 12, Applicant argues that Westerlund et al. perform the disclosed method in an encoder and not in a decoder (p. 9). Furthermore, Applicant argues that an original voice input signal does not comprise a reference signal based on a received communication.

The Examiner cannot concur with the Applicant. Westerlund et al. disclose adjusting the operational parameters of a decoder (Col. 2, Lines 26-30). In the method disclosed by Westerlund et al. a decoder is included in the encoding side as required by the analysis-by-synthesis procedure. The input speech, which is a received communication segmented into frames, serves as a reference signal for the analysis-by-synthesis procedure performed within the method disclosed by Westerlund et al.. The analysis-by-synthesis procedure, as well known in the art, involves the comparison of a reference signal to an iteratively produced signal with the objective of minimizing the difference between the two, resulting in the finding of a combination of parameters that produces the signal that minimizes the aforementioned difference. Therefore the claims stay rejected.

W. R. YOUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER